How can at-risk communities be ready for tsunamis generated by non-seismic and complex sources?

Safe Ocean Laboratory Satellite Activity: Further Challenges for Warnings of Tsunamis - 6 April 2022

David.Coetzee@nema.govt.nz



2021 United Nations Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development



United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization



Intergovernmental

Oceanographic

Commission

Challenges for emergency managers

- ➤ Adequate information/data for planning & response:
 - Before an event: Risk information (likelihood & consequence) - rather than only a probability of a hazard occurring.
 - Close to an event: Impact-based warnings, or at least an indication of size/scale of the potential hazard (i.e. expected size/scale of an eruption) to support an appreciation of the extent of potential impact.
 - During an event: Threat assessment based on observational detection & forecasting (rather than source modelling) seems to be the way to go:
 - ✓ DART (without DART observations the Hunga Tonga Hunga Ha'api tsunami may well have arrived unheralded)
 - ✓ Remote sensing/satellites (can also support observation of human behaviour by social scientists before, during, after event; development of dynamic population exposure/vulnerability models)

Challenges for communities

> Level of understanding required?

- Can we expect our communities to learn the sources of tsunami and their nuances?
- Understanding that tsunamis are not only caused by earthquakes; their behaviour may be different; and there are things we don't know, is sufficient.
- Key is awareness of natural warnings, and specifically to act on observations of unusual sea behaviour and/or a big boom or shockwave - even when there is no long/strong shaking. If this happens, evacuation should be immediate.
- The above suggests education on what "unusual sea behaviour" and "big boom or shockwave" may look/feel like.
- Tailoring the approach to the local context is essential empowering local communities to manage their own risk.

UNESCO/IOC Tsunami Ready Recognition Programme - can assist

MITIGATION (MIT)

- MIT-1. Have designated and mapped tsunami hazard zones
- MIT-2. Have a public display of tsunami information.
- 3 MIT-3. Initial estimate of the number of people that live in the tsunami hazard zone.
- 4 MIT-4. Identification of available economic, infrastructural, political and social resources.

PREPAREDNESS (PREP)

- 5 PREP-1. Easily understood tsunami evacuation maps as determined appropriated by local authorities in collaboration with communities.
- 6 PREP-2. Outreach and public awareness education materials developed and distributed.
- 7 PREP-3. Hold at least three outreach or educational activities annually.
- PREP-4: Conduct a biennial tsunami community exercise.

III RESPONSE (RESP)

- 9 RESP-1. Have a tsunami emergency operations plan (EOP).
- 10 RESP-2. Have the capacity to manage emergency response operations during a a tsunami.
- 11 RESP-3. Have redundant and reliable means to receive 24-hour official tsunami alerts.
- 12 RESP-4. Have redundant and reliable means to disseminate 24-hour official tsunami alerts to the public.

Understanding the hazard & risk

Community awareness



Planning & capacity

Opportunities: Tsunami Ready



| Indicator Area | Description | Opportunity |
|-------------------|--|--|
| Mitigation (2) | Have a public display of tsunami information. | Include potential non-seismic sources |
| Preparedness (2) | Outreach and public awareness education materials developed and distributed. | Include examples of non- seismic sources; what unusual sea behaviour, big boom/shockwave may look/feel like. |
| Preparedness (3) | Hold at least three outreach or educational activities annually. | Include examples of non- seismic sources; what unusual sea behaviour, big boom/shockwave may look/feel like. |
| Response (1) | Have a tsunami emergency operations plan. | Include risk of non-seismic sources in hazard description to ensure planning & policy take these into account. |

TR Boards (evaluators) to check for these inclusions.

Thank you

Contributors:

- Thomas.Wilson@nema.govt.nz
- Kevin.Fenaughty@nema.govt.nz
- Ashleigh.Fromont@nema.govt.nz
- Alice.Evans@nema.govt.nz