



unesco

Intergovernmental
Oceanographic
Commission



Te Whakaahuatanga Tere o ngā
R-CET
Rū Whenua me ngā Parawhenua



Mmax and MCE from Seismicity and Scaling

Bill Fry, New Zealand
Chair WG2

Approaches considered




unesco

Intergovernmental
Oceanographic
Commission

Geometry and scaling – simplest and probably most robust

Strain-budget constrained catalogue fitting – intermediate confidence requiring well-sampled catalogue




Physics-based earthquake cycle modeling – low confidence and ongoing work -- but, high-sensitivity to effects of geometry → solid upper bound on M_{Max}



Segmentation and Scaling

For iteration!

Seismogenic width



- 9.06390326
- 8.90389849
- 9.08652284
- 8.73614551
- 8.88177577
- 9.12600563
- 9.40236891

- A
- B
- C
- D
- E
- F
- G

M4-5 for last 50 years.

Average Dips approximate propDB US

Strasser Mmax(A)

Discussion points



unesco

Intergovernmental
Oceanographic
Commission

Is segmentation okay?

Are multi-segment interpretations okay?

What scaling laws are preferred?



If necessary, how can we improve fault width estimations?

Stochastic source analysis




unesco

Intergovernmental
Oceanographic
Commission



Fitting GR with recorded seismicity and geodetic plate rates

Rong et al., 2014, includes Berryman et al., 2013; and McCaffrey 2008



Discussion points





Comparison of statistical approaches.

MCE 8.6 Vanuatu and 9.1 New Britain/Bismark



unesco

Intergovernmental
Oceanographic
Commission



Preliminary RSQSim models

- We use constant coupling of 0.49 -> happy to improve with other estimates.
- These results will be updated with increased complexity of at least heterogeneous stresses.
- They can reasonably be taken as an estimate of $M_{max} \sim M9.2$ with the approach.
- Adding complexities tends to create more GR MFD and lowers the rates of largest events.

Discussion points



unesco

Intergovernmental
Oceanographic
Commission

Still WIP.

What is a better coupling coefficient than 0.49 for Vanuatu?
Can we vary this spatially?

We are currently only using one Euler pole for Vanuatu section
– meaning probably overestimating M_{max} slightly because of
rake variation along southernmost portion.

Improvements will likely not increase M_{max} → this method
suggests M9.2 for the Vanuatu portion driven primarily by fault
geometry



unesco

Intergovernmental
Oceanographic
Commission

Thank you very much for
your kind attention.

National
Science
Challenges

RESILIENCE
TO NATURE'S
CHALLENGES

Kia manawaroa
– Ngā Ākina o
Te Ao Tūroa

Te Whakaahuatanga Tere o ngā
R-CET
Rū Whenua me ngā Parawhenua

