

RESULTS OF THE SURVEY II.1. RISK ASSESSMENT AND REDUCTION

		a Capacity Asse						
UNESCO-IOC ICG/PTWS National Repo aredness								
TION AND QUESTIONS								
		Pacific Oc	ean is	prov				
capacity Ass	essm	ent in the Patinon of	the sul	rvey	Unesco	2025 LINEROR		
nation on the Tsunami Preparetrice of the CL-3027. Below is	an o	verall october survey	is also	pro		Preparedness	G/PTWs	National
as provided as an Annex to used for this assessme	et. 11	and Spanish, respon	nses v	100				Report on Capacity Annu
set of survey questions provided both in English	pasts a	vey data and results	, We t	har				Assessment of Tsun
te questions of the survey analysis and evaluation of the	5 put	10)				IN Y PREGUNTAS DE LA ENCO		
to better facilitate the titler, matter.						- OVENO		
kind understanding the	0.1710	completion of the or	ATTAG av	1	OS anteceder	the second s		
(TNCs) are requested to coordinate	rhy W	arning and mitigation	J WATER) e	ntregados por	la sobre la evaluación de Can		
itional Contacts involved in end-to-end tsunamico	egur	isted to complete the	0.00111	' e	ncuentra una	descripcida	13 source	Preparación ante Terres
hal stakenolise of this survey, all Member States are				01	rafuación. Mie	ntras que la encue	nugue ete	lo dispuesto como Anexo en el Océano Pacifico en
Importance of the				10 A.0	queridas sólo	en inglés, con autos son en	tregarias	gada, junto a el conjunto de ala CL-3027. A continuación
G).				~9	radecernos de	antemano su son el fin de facilitar	r el análiei	anto en inglés como español la preparadas pero esta
	Sta	keholder Inputs		Los	Contanto	to su comprensión so	bre esta d	listosielistosielistos y la evaluación de los datos y respuestas serán
CHOICE CHOICE	010	Interional Co	ontac	de	os detantes N	ecionales de Tsupami com		resultados de la encuesta
pic of the Survey	Tsu	nami National	d fee	de e	ista encuentos in	volucrados en los procesor) de	iberán coo	ordinar la second
sic InformativeP contact details	Inp	uts to be obtained	and		obesid,	los Estados Miembros del	alerta tem	prana y minanii
C/NTWOTTH	Ma	anagement Onice	for			or or of the second sec	n completi	arla hasta el 04 de su país. Considerando la l
isk Assessment & Risk Assessment,	ag	ency responsion	Col	P	Arts I in			de marzo 2025 (UTC).
azard assessment, Guidelines	As	ssessment in tain	ed	1÷	arte Tema	de la encuesta		
Policies, Plans, Galden	In	puts to be arning	Cer	1	Dates	nación Básica:		Anal
	18	cency responsible	e f	Γ	Datos	de contacto		Aporte de los organos invest
Itization and Dissemination	12	dissemination of tsu		11	Evalua	ación y Reducatió		Contacto Nacional de T
Detection, Warning und	1 v	vithin the country	-	1	Ringon	ción de la Amenaza	sgo:	Aportes obtanid
1 B	-ti	nputs to be obtain		\vdash	. oosyo	Politicas, Planes, Directed	ación de	Manejo de Emergeneiro desde la Oficina de
a blic Awareness, Preparedness a	-1	Management Oli	ũ I			, and and	205	agencia responsable de la cualquier otra
Public Antonio	1	responsible to p	. /	m	Detecci	án e i		Anortes Ariesgo en el país
SOPs, Evacuation, Tsunami excitote	_	prepareoness to	1			on, Aviso y Difusión		Nacional de Tierres desde el Centre
Public Awareness		National Contac	·		10			agencia responseli y/o cualquier otro
Departami	me	Roint (TRFP), if			Concien	tización Públic		difusión de alerta torración y
Teunami Ready Recognition Program		agencies respo	_ I #	v	Procest	ta: Prepara	ción y	interior del país.
(TPPP)		other similar in	- 1.	•	Por sus el	ientos Operativos Estánd		Aportee do l
(nuc)		1			Ejercicios	de Teuringlés), Evacuación	(SOP	Emergencias oficina de Maneio de
the method is and		Tsunami Nati		-	Pública	Concientizació	in l	responsables de la sagencias
Important recent developments and								público, preparación y recentización del
summary of future plans.			1					Aportes alu
00			V	- 1	Programa	de Reconosimi	1	de Taunami D
and comp	led.	and submitted by	1	- 1	loudy	Seamento Tsuna	ami (PFTR por sus cicle Focal Tsunami Read
to be coordinated, comp	4. 85	presented below	L	- 1			M	dentificado y/u otras en inglés) si está
onses to this sorrey has six (6) disence per	aity in	n the end-to-end	VI	1	Desarrall		1	esponsables del Programo di
Member Stateholders based on their national response			_		esumen de	recientes importantes	in	iciativa signi Tsunami Readu
ent staker oos					ue.	planes futuros.	0	similar en el país
							100	Antacto Nacional de Taunami
		Las	respu	lestar	a neta co			- Contract ful
		(CN	(T) de	cada	Estado Micue	sta deben ser coortine de		
		Cac	ta parti	e requ	alere information	oro. La encuesta consta do	mpiladas y	enviatas escura y
		miste	ma co	mple	to de Alerta de	ion de diversos organismos in	(6) parte	s distintas tal concerto Nacional de Teuro
						reunami y Sistema de Mitigan	viucrados	basado en su responsenta a continuación
						-940		separation dat page

025 EOB

m

NATIONAL POLICIES

6a) Does your country have a national tsunami policies?

For each of the four disaster management phases, select standalone policy / multi hazard policy / policy not available.



Answered: 39 Skipped: 0

- 34 countries (87%) with some form of national policy
- Mostly multi-hazard including tsunami
- 26 countries (76%) addressed all four phases



LOCAL POLICIES

6b) Does your country have local tsunami policies?

For each of the disaster management phases, select standalone policy / multi hazard policy / policy not available.



Answered: 37 Skipped: 2

- 22 countries (59%) with some form of local policy
- Multi-hazard including tsunami except for 2 countries
- 19 countries (86%) addressed all four phases



DISASTER RISK REDUCTION PLANS (1/2)

7a) Does your country have a national, local and community level tsunami disaster risk reduction plans? For each of the four disaster management phases, select standalone plan / multi hazard plan / plan not available.

100 100 90 90 21 24 29 29 36 80 40 80 70 70 countries countries 60 60 50 50 71 of 57 of 40 63 74 40 % 56 52 30 30 20 20 10 10 14 9 8 8 8 0 0 National Local Communi National Local Communi Plan level Plan level Multi-hazard including tsunami Plan is not available Multi-hazard including tsunami Standalone tsunami only Plan is not available Standalone tsunami only Answered: 38 Skipped: 1 Answered: 38 Skipped: 1

PREVENTION AND MITIGATION PHASE

%



To date, 31 countries (82%) with some form of DRR plan ۲







DISASTER RISK REDUCTION PLANS (2/2)



7a) Does your country have a national, local and community level tsunami disaster risk reduction plans? For each of the four disaster management phases, select standalone plan / multi hazard plan / plan not available.

EMERGENCY RESPONSE PHASE



REHABILITATION AND RECONSTRUCTION PHASE



Answered: 38 Skipped: 1

Answered: 38 Skipped: 1

• Mostly multi-hazard including tsunami for all phases

7b) Are your country's tsunami disaster risk reduction plans based on hazards and risk assessments? Answered: 39 Skipped: 0

• 31 countries (79%) reported that DRR plans are based on hazard and risk assessments



GUIDELINES

Conomic and Social Commission Conomic and Social Commission (r Asia and the Pacific

8a) Does your country have national tsunami DRR guidelines? & 8b) Does your country have local tsunami DRR guidelines?

NATIONAL



LOCAL



Answered: 38 Skipped: 1

- 28 (74%) and 26 (68%) countries with some form of national and local DRR guidelines, respectively
- Mostly multi-hazard including tsunami

HAZARD ASSESSMENT (1/10)

4a) Has your country undertaken a tsunami hazard assessment? Answered: 39 Skipped: 0

• Conducted by 31 countries (79%) of 39 respondents

TYPE OF HAZARD ASSESSEMENT

4b) What type of hazard assessment has been carried out?



Answered: 39 Skipped: 0



HAZARD ASSESSMENT (2/10)



Region	Hazard assessment undertaken	Туре
Central American Pacific Coast	83% YES – 17% NO	 40% Single hazard on tsunami 40% Multi-hazard including tsunami 20% Both
South China Sea	87% YES – 13% NO	 0% Single hazard on tsunami 43% Multi-hazard including tsunami 57% Both
Southeast Pacific	100% YES	 50% Single hazard on tsunami 0% Multi-hazard including tsunami 50% Both
Pacific Island Countries and Territories	61% YES – 39% NO	 0% Single hazard on tsunami 37,5% Multi-hazard including tsunami 62,5% Both



HAZARD ASSESSMENT (3/10)



TYPE OF HAZARDS

4c) If multi-hazard, what additional hazard assessments has been carried out?



Answered: 26 Skipped: 13

- Other : torrential floods, coastal erosion, forest fires, avalanche, severe weather, sea surge, pandemic Covid, storm surge, ocean wave, sea ice and liquefaction
- 7 hazards considered by 2 countries, mostly 3 hazards by 7 countries no pattern of hazard combination

HAZARD ASSESSMENT (4/10)



ORGANISATION IN CHARGE

4d) Who did the tsunami hazard assessment in your country?



- Answered: 31 Skipped: 8
- 17 countries (55%) involved multiple organisations

Other: local governments, private consultants, regional scientific organisations (e.g. SPC, SPREP) and non-governmental organisations (e.g. Red Cross)

HAZARD ASSESSMENT (5/10) LEVEL



4e) At what level was the tsunami hazard assessment carried out?



Answered: 31 Skipped: 8

- 21 countries (68%) carried out hazard assessment at multiple levels
 - Other: state or province

HAZARD ASSESSMENT (6/10)



4f) For which type of sources was the tsunami hazard assessment carried out? Answered: 31 Skipped: 8

• Mostly based on earthquake source (97%) and slightly volcanic and landslide sources (26%)

TYPE OF DATA AND AVAILABILITY

4h) For each of the data types listed, were these data used? Publicly available?



Answered: 31 Skipped: 8

- Two or more data types used by 100% of the countries
- All data available to the public in over 32% up to 61%
- Other: field studies, reports and surveys on tsunami impacts, historical tsunami observation testimonies, sea level and tidal regime calculations, household vulnerability and population data, volcano and landslide source models, and imagery (aerial, satellite, LiDAR)

HAZARD ASSESSMENT (7/10)



DERIVATIVE PRODUCTS

4i) What products do you have from the tsunami hazard assessment?



Answered: 31 Skipped: 8

• 21 countries have \geq 5 products and 10 countries have \leq 4 products

• Other: Tsunami Travel Times (TTT) and Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)

HAZARD ASSESSMENT (8/10)



COUNTRIES CAPABILITY

4j) On a scale of 1 (Very poor) to 5 (Very good), please rate your country's capability to undertake tsunami hazard assessment.



 74% of the countries (28) consider having good or very good capability to undertake tsunami hazard assessment

HAZARD ASSESSMENT (9/10)



CAPACITY IMPROVEMENT

4k) On a scale of 1 (Not a priority) to 5 (Essential), what is the priority level in your country to improve capacity in the following areas of tsunami hazard

assessment?

Answered: 38 Skipped: 1

Areas of tsunami hazard assessment	RII	Rank
Inundation map	0,83	1
Evacuation map	0,82	2
Hazard map	0,81	3
Deterministic Tsunami Hazard Analysis (DTHA)	0,78	4
Field studies on tsunami impacts		5
Probabilistic Tsunami Hazard Assessment (PTHA)	0,74	6

All areas require improvement with highest priority on inundation map

•

- Other:
- Development and establishment of minimum tsunami competency standards / requirements for staff of the NTWC and capacity development for tsunami watch keepers in terms of building seismic skills
- Ability to identify non-seismic tsunamigenic sources such as submarine landslides and volcanic eruptions
- Tsunami impacts based financing, Tsunami modeling
- Impacts (inundation and dragging), exposition and vulnerability maps
- Maps and products to delineate locations where there is no high ground for evacuation and therefore vertical evacuation options (such as towers) must be constructed
- Consideration of smaller settlements and islands
 - Human resources for tsunami software analysis, development, and dissemination

Communication between all parties (from modelers to emergency management) to ensure that the scientific results are translated into emergency management response

HAZARD ASSESSMENT (10/10)



COUNTRIES CAPACITY TO GIVE TRAINING AND/OR CONSULTANCY

4l) On a scale of 1 (No capacity) to 5 (Very good), what capacity does your country have to give training and/or consultancy on tsunami hazard assessment to other countries?



Answered: 37 Skipped: 2

- Capacity available to deliver training and/or consultancy in all six areas, more specifically in mapping
- No capacity for none of the areas for 3 countries

RISK ASSESSMENT (1/9)

5a) Has your country undertaken a tsunami risk assessment? Answered: 39 Skipped: 0

• Conducted by 29 countries (74%) of 39 respondents

TYPE OF RISK ASSESSEMENT

5b) What type of tsunami risk assessment was undertaken?







RISK ASSESSMENT (2/9)



Region	Risk assessment undertaken	Туре
Central American Pacific Coast	67% YES – 33% NO	 75% Single risk assessment on tsunami 25% Multi-hazard including tsunami 0% Both
South China Sea	86,5% YES – 12,5% NO	 14% Single risk assessment on tsunami 43% Multi-hazard including tsunami 43% Both
Southeast Pacific	75% YES – 25% NO	 0% Single hazard on tsunami 33% Multi-hazard including tsunami 67% Both
Pacific Island Countries and Territories	62% YES – 38% NO	 25% Single hazard on tsunami 12,5% Multi-hazard including tsunami 62,5% Both



RISK ASSESSMENT (3/9)



TYPE OF HAZARDS

5c) If multi-hazard, what additional hazards have been considered in your multi-hazard risk assessment?



Answered: 22 Skipped: 17

Other: forest fires, sea surge, king tide, extreme weather, extreme wave / abrasion,
 flash flood, and pandemic Covid

RISK ASSESSMENT (4/9)



ORGANISATION IN CHARGE

5d) Who did the tsunami risk assessment in your country?



Answered: 29 Skipped: 10

• Multiple actors for 14 countries (48%)

Other: regional agencies, state and local governments, local communities, private sector consultants, and non-governmental organisations (e.g., Red Cross)

RISK ASSESSMENT (5/9)



LEVEL

5e) At what level was the tsunami risk assessment carried out?



- Tsunami risk assessments mainly conducted at a national level (69%)
- Carried out at multiple levels for 48% of countries

RISK ASSESSMENT (6/9) TYPE OF PRODUCTS

5h) What products do you have from the tsunami risk assessment?



Answered: 29 Skipped: 10



- Development of ≥ 2 products for 25 countries (86%)
 - single product for 4 countries being risk map
- Other: Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and decrees

5i) Has pedestrian evacuation modelling been included in the tsunami risk assessment? Answered: 28 Skipped: 11

Pedestrian evacuation modelling included for 16 countries (57%)

RISK ASSESSMENT (7/9)



COUNTRIES CAPABILITY

5j) On a scale of 1 (Very poor) to 5 (Very good), please rate your country's capability to undertake tsunami risk assessment.



 58% of the countries (22) consider having good or very good capability to undertake tsunami risk assessment

RISK ASSESSMENT (8/9)



CAPACITY IMPROVEMENT

5k) On a scale of 1 (Not a priority) to 5 (Essential), what is the priority level of your country to improve capacity in the following areas of tsunami risk assessment?

Answered: 38 Skipped: 1

Priority level	RII	Rank
National level	0,80	1
Regional level	0,79	2
City level	0,77	3
Village level	0,72	4
Community / Neighbourhood level	0,72	4

- Other (3 countries):
 - o Tsunami ready components
 - o Tsunami competency standards
 - Scholarship opportunities
 - o Continuous advocacy on tsunami awareness and drills
 - o Required data for proper tsunami risk assessment
 - Impact assessment with probability approach
 - Building resistance evaluation
 - o Tsunami modeling

RISK ASSESSMENT (9/9)



COUNTRIES CAPACITY TO GIVE TRAINING AND/OR CONSULTANCY

5l) On a scale of 1 (No capacity) to 5 (Very good) what capacity does your country have to give training and/or consultancy on tsunami risk assessment to other countries?



Answered: 38 Skipped: 1

- Up to 66% of the countries with moderate capacity to give training
- 8 countries (21%) with good or very good capacity at each level
- No capacity in any of the levels for 5 countries (13%)